

# Smoluchowski Navier-Stokes Systems

Peter Constantin  
Mathematics, U. of Chicago

*CSCAMM, April 18, 2007*

## Outline:

1. Navier-Stokes
2. Onsager and Smoluchowski
3. Coupled System

## Fluid: Navier Stokes Equation

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p &= \nu \Delta u + \nabla \cdot \sigma \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0\end{aligned}$$

The tensor  $\sigma_{ij}(x, t)$  : added stress.

Sufficient for regularity, if  $\sigma$  smooth

$$\int_0^T \|u\|_{L^\infty(dx)}^2 dt < \infty$$

Necessary for applications

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(dx)} dt < \infty$$

## 2D, Bounded stress

**Theorem 1** Let  $\sigma \in L^\infty(dt dx)$ . Let  $u_0 \in L^2(dx)$ . There exists a *unique* weak solution of the forced 2D NS eqns, with

$$u \in L^\infty(dt)(L^2(dx)) \cap L^2(dt)(W^{1,2}(dx))$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(dx)}^{\frac{q}{q-1}} dt < \infty, \quad \forall q \geq 2$$

$$\int_0^T \|u\|_{L^\infty(dx)}^p dt < \infty, \quad \forall p < 2.$$

## Open questions

$$\int_0^T \|u\|_{L^\infty(dx)}^2 dt < \infty \Rightarrow \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(dx)} < \infty \quad ?$$

$$\int_0^T \|u\|_{L^\infty(dx)}^2 dt < \infty \quad ?$$

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(dx)} dt < \infty \quad ?$$

Partial regularity?

## Navier-Stokes with nearly singular forces

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla_x u - \nu \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = \operatorname{div}_x \sigma, \quad \nabla_x \cdot u = 0$$

**Theorem 2** Let  $u$  be a solution of the 2D Navier-Stokes system with divergence-free initial data  $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Let  $T > 0$  and let the forces  $\nabla \cdot \sigma$  obey

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &\in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \\ \nabla \cdot \sigma &\in L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))\end{aligned}$$

with  $r > 2$ . Then

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \leq K \log_*(B)$$

and also

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{q=1}^M \int_0^T \|\Delta_q \nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \leq K$$

with  $K$  depending on  $T$ , norms of  $\sigma$  and the initial velocity, but not on gradients of  $\sigma$  nor  $M$ , and  $B$  depending on norms of the spatial gradients of  $\sigma$ .

$$u \sim \sum_q \Delta_q(u)$$

Littlewood-Paley decomposition.

$$\mathcal{F}(\Delta_q(u))(k) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow k \sim 2^q$$

## Particles in Equilibrium

- $f(p)dp$ : probability density of director  $p$ .
- Mean field interaction potential

$$(\mathcal{K}f)(p) = \int_M K(p, q)f(q)dq$$

- Free energy:

$$\mathcal{E} = \int_M (f \log f - \frac{1}{2} f \mathcal{K}f) dp$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta f} = 0$$

Onsager equation

$$\log f(m) = \int_M K(m, p)f(p)dp - \log Z$$

$Z$  a normalizing constant.

$$f = Z^{-1} e^{\mathcal{K}f}$$

- Nonlinear, nonlocal.

## General Onsager Equation

- Conjecture: The prolate nematic state is the generic stable limit.

Partition function

$$Z(f, b) = \int_M e^{b\mathcal{K}f} dm$$

with  $b$  concentration parameter. Define, for  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$[\phi](f, b) = (Z(f, b))^{-1} \int_M \phi(m) e^{b\mathcal{K}f} dm.$$

$$K(m, p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j \phi_j(m) \phi_j(p)$$

$\phi_j$  real, complete, orthonormal in  $L^2(M)$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}\phi_j = \mu_j\phi_j$$

Expand  $f$ :

$$v_j(f) = \int_M f(p)\phi_j(p)dp.$$

Onsager's equation

$$f = Z^{-1}e^{b\mathcal{K}f}$$

is equivalent to the system

$$v_j(f) = [\phi_j](f, b).$$

Onsager solution is a critical point of the free energy

$$\mathcal{F}(v, b) = \log Z(v, b) - b \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j \frac{v_j^2}{2}$$

**Differentiation:** For any function  $\phi(p)$

$$\frac{\partial[\phi]}{\partial v_i} = b\mu_i \{ [\phi\phi_i] - [\phi][\phi_i] \}$$

Therefore the Hessian  $\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}$  is

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij} = b^2 \mu_i \mu_j [\xi_i \xi_j] - b \mu_i \delta_{ij}$$

with  $\xi_j = \phi_j - [\phi_j]$ . For  $b$  small the isotropic state  $v = 0$  is stable.

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} [\phi](v, b) = \phi(p(v))$$

Morse lemma.

## Smoluchowski (Nonlinear Fokker-Planck) Equation

$$\partial_t f + u \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_g(Gf) = \frac{1}{\tau} \Delta_g f$$

$$G = \frac{1}{\tau} \nabla_g \mathcal{K} f + W,$$

The  $(0, 1)$  tensor field  $W$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} W(x, m, t) &= \\ &= \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^3 c_\alpha^{ij}(m) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(x, t) \right)_{\alpha=1,\dots,d}. \end{aligned}$$

Example, rod-like particles:

$$W(x, m, t) = (\nabla_x u(x, t))m - ((\nabla_x u(x, t))m \cdot m)m.$$

Macro-Micro Effect: from first principles, in principle...

## Energetics

$$\sigma_{ij}(x) = -\epsilon \int_M \left( \operatorname{div}_g c^{ij} + c^{ij} \cdot \nabla_g \mathcal{K}f(x, m) \right) f(x, m) dm$$

Micro-Macro Effect: from Energetics !

$$\bullet f = Z^{-1} e^{\mathcal{K}f} \Rightarrow \sigma = 0$$

$$\bullet \mathcal{K} = 0, W = (\nabla_x u)m - m((\nabla_x u)m \cdot m) \Rightarrow \sigma = \epsilon \int (3n \otimes n - 1) dm$$

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = -\epsilon \operatorname{div}_g c^{ij} + \lambda \delta_{ij} \\ \gamma_{ij}^{(2)}(m, n) = -\epsilon c_\alpha^{ij}(m) g^{\alpha\beta}(m) \partial_\beta K(m, n) + \mu \delta_{ij} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma(x, t) = \sigma^{(1)}(x, t) + \sigma^{(2)}(x, t) + \dots$$

where

$$\sigma_{ij}^{(1)}(x, t) = \int_M \gamma_{ij}^{(1)}(m) f(x, m, t) dm$$

$$\sigma_{ij}^{(2)}(x, t) = \int_{M \times M} \gamma_{ij}^{(2)}(m, n) f(x, m, t) f(x, n, t) dm dn.$$

**Theorem 3** *Liapunov functional*

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int |u|^2 dx + \\ + \epsilon \int \left\{ f \log f - \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{K}f) f \right\} dx dm.$$

If  $(u, f)$  is a smooth solution then

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\nu \int |\nabla_x u|^2 dx - \\ - \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} \int_M f |\nabla_g (\log f - \mathcal{K}f)|^2 dm dx.$$

If the smooth solution is time independent, then  $u = 0$  and  $f$  solves the Onsager equation

$$f = Z^{-1} e^{\mathcal{K}f}.$$

## Time dependent Stokes and Nonlinear Fokker-Planck in 3D

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t f + u \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_g(Wf) + \frac{1}{\tau} \operatorname{div}_g(f \nabla_g(\mathcal{K}f)) &= \epsilon \Delta_g f \\ \partial_t u - \nu \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p &= \operatorname{div}_x \sigma + F, \quad \nabla_x \cdot u = 0.\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4** Assume  $u_0$  is divergence-free and belongs to  $W^{2,r}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ ,  $r > 3$ , assume that  $f_0$  is positive, normalized, and  $f_0 \in L^\infty(dx; \mathcal{C}(M)) \cap \nabla_x f_0 \in L^r(dx; H^{-s}(M))$ ,  $s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$ . Then the solution exists for all time and

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{L^p[(0,T); W^{2,r}(dx)]} &< \infty, \\ \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^\infty[(0,T); L^r(dx; H^{-s}(M))]} &< \infty\end{aligned}$$

for any  $p > \frac{2r}{r-3}$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $\tau \leq \infty$ ,  $\epsilon \geq 0$ .

## Global existence, NSE and Nonlinear Fokker-Planck 2D

**Theorem 5 (C-Masmoudi)** Let  $u_0 \in (W^{\alpha,r} \cap L^2)(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be divergence-free, and  $f_0 \in W^{1,r}(H^{-s}(M))$ , with  $r > 2$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$  and  $f_0 \geq 0$ ,  $\int_M f_0 dm \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Then the coupled NS and nonlinear Fokker-Planck system in 2D has a global solution  $u \in L_{loc}^\infty(W^{1,r}) \cap L_{loc}^2(W^{2,r})$  and  $f \in L_{loc}^\infty(W^{1,r}(H^{-s}))$ . Moreover, for  $T > T_0 > 0$ , we have  $u \in L^\infty((T_0, T); W^{2-0,r})$ .

No a priori bound.

$$\sup_k \lambda_k^{\alpha - \frac{1}{k} \int_0^t \|\nabla_x S_{k-1}(u(s))\|_{L^\infty} ds} \|\Delta_k(u)(t)\|_{L^p}$$

## Basic Fokker-Planck Lemma

$$\partial_t f + u \cdot \nabla_x f \sim (\nabla_x u) \operatorname{div}_g(cf) + \operatorname{div}_g(f \nabla_g(\mathcal{K}f))$$

$$\partial_t N + u \cdot \nabla_x N \leq c |\nabla_x u| N + c |\nabla_x \nabla_x u|$$

pointwise at  $(x, t)$ , with

$$N(x, t) = \sqrt{\int_M |(\mathbf{I} - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{s}{2}} \nabla_x f(x, m, t)|^2 dm}$$

$$s > \frac{d}{2} + 1.$$

## Growth

$$\frac{d}{dt}n \leq c(gn + G)$$

$$n(t) = \|N(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(dx) \cap L^2(dx)}, \quad g(t) = \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}$$

$$G(t) = \|\nabla_x \nabla_x u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(dx) \cap L^2(dx)}.$$

$$n(t) \leq \left\{ n(0) + \int_0^t G(s) ds \right\} e^{c \int_0^t g(s) ds}$$

## Bounds

$$\int_0^t n = I$$

$$\int_0^t g \leq K \log_* I$$

$$\int_0^t G \leq KI \log_* I$$

$$\nabla_x u = e^{t\Delta} \nabla_x u(0) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta \mathbb{H} \{(u \otimes u) - \sigma\} ds$$

## Linear piece

$$\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta \mathbb{H}(D)(\sigma(s)) ds$$

$$\|\mathbb{H}(D)\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \log_* B$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta \phi(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \log_* B$$

together: one log, not two

## Nonlinear piece

$$U(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta \mathbb{H}(D)((u \otimes u)(s)) ds.$$

Idea = Chemin and Masmoudi: take time integral first

$$\sum_{q \geq 3} \Delta_q(U) = C(t) + I(t)$$

$$C(t)=\sum_{q\geq 3}\int_0^te^{(t-s)\Delta}\Delta \mathbb{H}(D)\Delta_q\left(\sum_{|p-p'|\leq 2}\Delta_p(u(s))\otimes \Delta_{p'}(u(s))\right)ds$$

$$\int_0^T \| C(t) \|_{L^\infty} dt \leq c E_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_q((\Delta_p u(s)) \otimes (\Delta_{p'}(u(s))))\|_{L^\infty} &\leq c 2^{2q} \|\Delta_q((\Delta_p u(s)) \otimes (\Delta_{p'}(u(s))))\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq c 2^{(2q-2p)} \|\nabla \Delta_p u(s)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \Delta_{p'} u(s)\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

$$p \geq q-2, |p-p'| \leq 2, p' = p+j, j \in [-2,2]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|C(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \sum_{j=-2}^2 \sum_{p=1}^\infty c \int_0^t \|\Delta_p \nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{p+j} \nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{q=3}^{p+2} e^{-2^{2(q-1)}(t-s)} 2^{2q} 2^{2(q-p)} \right\} ds\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_0^T \|C(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \leq \\& c \sum_{j=-2}^2 \sum_{p=1}^\infty \int_0^T \|\Delta_p(\nabla u(s))\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{p+j}(\nabla u(s))\|_{L^2} \sum_{q=3}^{p+2} 2^{2(q-p)} ds \\& \leq c \sum_{j=-2}^2 \int_0^T \sum_{p=1}^\infty \|\Delta_p(\nabla u(s))\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{p+j}(\nabla u(s))\|_{L^2} ds.\end{aligned}$$

$$I(t)=\sum_{q\geq 3}\int_0^te^{(t-s)\Delta}\Delta \mathbb{H}(D)\Delta_q\left(\sum_{|p-p'|\geq 3}\Delta_p(u)\otimes \Delta_{p'}(u)\right)ds$$

$$\int_0^T \| I(t) \|_{L^\infty} dt \leq c E_1 \log_* \left( \frac{c(1+T)R_1}{\epsilon} \right) + \epsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I(t) &= I_1(t) + I_2(t) \\
I_1(t) &= \sum_{q \geq 3} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta \mathbb{H}(D) \Delta_q \left( \sum_{p \geq p'+3} \Delta_p(u(s)) \otimes \Delta_{p'}(u(s)) \right) ds \\
I_2(t) &= \sum_{q \geq 3} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta \mathbb{H}(D) \Delta_q \left( \sum_{p' \geq p+3} \Delta_p(u(s)) \otimes \Delta_{p'}(u(s)) \right) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$I_1(t) = \sum_{j=-2}^2 \sum_{q \geq 3} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta \mathbb{H}(D) \Delta_q (J_q(s)) ds$$

with

$$J_q(s) = \Delta_{q+j}(u(s)) \otimes S_{q+j-3}(u(s)).$$

$q \leq M$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta_q(J_q(s))\|_{L^\infty} &\leq c \|S_{q+j-3}(u(s))\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{q+j}(u(s))\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq c \left[ \|u(s)\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{M+2} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \right] \|\Delta_{q+j}(u(s))\|_{L^\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|S_{q+j}(u(s))\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \left( \|u(s)\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{q+j} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \right).$$

Bernstein's inequality:  $\|\Delta_{q+j} u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \|\Delta_{q+j} \nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}$

$$\|\Delta_q(J_q(s))\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \left( \|u(s)\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{M+2} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Delta_{q+j} \nabla(u(s))\|_{L^2}$$

$q \geq M$ :

$$\|\Delta_q(J_q(s))\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \left( \|u(s)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta u(s)\|_{L^2} \right) 2^{-q} \|\Delta_{q+j} \Delta(u(s))\|_{L^2}$$

$$\int_0^T \|I_1(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \leq A + B$$

with

$$A = c \sum_{j=-2}^2 \sum_{q=3}^M \int_0^T \|\Delta_q(J_q(s))\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_s^T 2^{2q} e^{-(t-s)2^{2(q-1)}} dt \right) ds$$

and

$$B = c \sum_{j=-2}^2 \sum_{q=M}^{\infty} \int_0^T \|\Delta_q(J_q(s))\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_s^T 2^{2q} e^{-(t-s)2^{2(q-1)}} dt \right) ds$$

$A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq c \int_0^T \left( \|u(s)\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{M+2} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \right) \left( \sum_{q=3}^M \|\Delta_{q+j} \nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \right) ds \\ &\leq c \int_0^T \left( \|u(s)\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{M+2} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \right) \left( \sqrt{M} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore

$$A \leq c M E_1$$

$B$ :

$$B \leq c \int_0^T \left( \|u(s)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta u(s)\|_{L^2} \right) \sum_{q \geq M} \left( 2^{-q} \|\Delta_{q+j} \Delta(u(s))\|_{L^2} \right) ds$$

and therefore

$$B \leq c2^{-M} \int_0^T \|u(s)\|_{H^2}^2 ds = c2^{-M} E_2$$

where

$$E_2 = \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{H^2}^2 dt.$$

$$B \leq c2^{-M}(1+T) \left\{ \|\sigma\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)}^2 + \|u(0)\|_{H^1}^2 \right\} = c2^{-M}(1+T)R_1$$

$$M = \log_* \left( \frac{c(1+T)R_1}{\epsilon} \right)$$

## Coming attractions:

- A priori bound in 2D
- Stochastic system: existence and bounds
- Traveling waves, standing waves (with Berestycki, Ryzhik, Tsogka)

## References

- P. Constantin, Nonlinear Fokker-Planck Navier-Stokes Systems, CMS, (2005)
- P. Constantin, C. Fefferman, E. Titi, A. Zarnescu, Regularity of coupled two-dimensional Nonlinear Fokker-Planck and Navier-Stokes systems, CMP (2007), to appear.
- P. Constantin, N. Masmoudi, Global well-posedness for a Smoluchowski equation coupled with Navier-Stokes equations in 2D, preprint 2007.

## More References

- P. Constantin, I. Kevrekidis, E. S. Titi, Remarks on a Smoluchowski Equations, *Discrete and Continuous Dyn. Syst.*, (2004)
- P. Constantin. I Kevrekidis, E. S. Titi Asymptotic States of a Smoluchowski Equation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Analysis*, (2004)
- P. Constantin, E.S. Titi, J. Vukadinovic, Dissipativity and Gevrey Regularity of a Smoluchowski Equation, *Indiana U. Math J.*, (2004)
- P. Constantin, J. Vukadinovic, Note on the number of steady states for a 2D Smoluchowski equation, *Nonlinearity* (2004).

## References for Stochastic representation

- G. Iyer, Thesis, U of C
- P. Constantin, G. Iyer, A stochastic representation of the 3D incompressible NSE, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., (2007) to appear.
- P. Constantin, G. Iyer, Stochastic Lagrangian transport and generalized relative entropies, CMS, (2006).
- P. Constantin, An Eulerian-Lagrangian approach for incompressible fluids: local theory. Journal of AMS **14** (2001), 773-783.

- P. Constantin, An Eulerian-Lagrangian approach to the Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Math. Phys. **216** (2001), 663-686.
- K. Ohkitani, P. Constantin, Numerical study of the Eulerian-Lagrangian formulation of the NSE, Phys. fluids **15** (2003) 3251-3254.